NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION ONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE,

D042828

Plaintiff and Respondent,

V.

(Super. Ct. No. SCD174982)

EDWARD KERR,

Defendant and Appellant.

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County, John L. Davidson, Judge. Affirmed.

Edward Kerr entered a negotiated guilty plea to petty theft with a prior theft conviction (Pen. Code, §§ 666/484)¹ and admitted a strike prior (§§ 667, subds. (b)-(i), 1170.12). The court sentenced him to prison for a stipulated four years: double the two-year middle term for petty theft with a prior theft conviction and a strike prior. The

¹ All statutory references are to the Penal Code.

record does not include a request for a certificate of probable cause. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b), former rule 31(d).)

DISCUSSION

Appointed appellate counsel has filed a brief setting forth the evidence in the superior court. Counsel presents no argument for reversal but asks this court to review the record for error as mandated by *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. Pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, counsel refers to as possible but not arguable issues: (1) whether Kerr's trial counsel provided effective assistance, and (2) whether Kerr was competent to enter the guilty plea and admission.²

We granted Kerr permission to file a brief on his own behalf. He has not responded. A review of the entire record pursuant to *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436, including the possible issues referred to pursuant to *Anders v. California, supra*, 386 U.S. 738, has disclosed no reasonably arguable appellate issue. Competent counsel has represented Kerr on this appeal.

Because Kerr entered a guilty plea, he cannot challenge the facts underlying the conviction. (§ 1237.5; *People v. Martin* (1973) 9 Cal.3d 687, 693.) We need not recite the facts.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.	
	IDION I
WE CONCLID	IRION, J.
WE CONCUR:	
BENKE, Acting P. J.	
DENKE, Acting F. J.	
McINTYRE, J.	